

NINETEENTH ANNUAL REPORT 2010

# CREUTZFELDT-JAKOB DISEASE SURVEILLANCE IN THE UK

---

The National CJD Surveillance Unit  
Western General Hospital, Edinburgh, EH4 2XU

[www.cjd.ed.ac.uk](http://www.cjd.ed.ac.uk)

# Table of Contents

<b>SECTION 1</b>	
Summary	3
<b>SECTION 2</b>	
Clinical Surveillance	5
2.1 Referrals	5
2.2 Sporadic CJD	7
2.3 Variant CJD	15
2.4 Iatrogenic CJD	23
2.5 Transfusion Medicine Epidemiology Review	23
2.6 Study of Progressive Intellectual and Neurological Deterioration (PIND)	25
<b>SECTION 3</b>	
Case-Control Study	26
<b>SECTION 4</b>	
Laboratory Activities	28
4.1 Neuropathology – Statement of Progress and Surveillance Activities	28
4.2 Prion Protein Laboratory	30
4.3 Brain Banking Activities	31
4.4 Molecular Genetics	31
4.5 CSF 14-3-3 and other brain specific proteins	32
<b>SECTION 5</b>	
National Care Team	35
<b>SECTION 6</b>	
Publications	37
<b>SECTION 7</b>	
Staff	39

## SUMMARY

The national surveillance programme for Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease (CJD) in the UK was initiated in May 1990. In 1999, the National CJD Surveillance Unit (NCJDSU) became a WHO Collaborative Centre for Reference and Research on the surveillance and epidemiology of human transmissible spongiform encephalopathies (TSEs). In September 2001 the National Care Team was formed, which currently comprises two care coordinators and a secretary. It is based within the NCJDSU and was formed in response to concerns regarding the care of CJD patients.

The information provided in this Nineteenth Annual Report continues to indicate that the number of sporadic cases remains relatively stable (the data for 2010 may still be incomplete). Detailed clinical and epidemiological information has been obtained for the great majority of patients. Referrals, having been fewer between 2004 and 2007, increased in 2008, back towards pre-2004 levels and this level has been maintained through 2009 and 2010. Although the general autopsy rate in the UK is low, it remains relatively high in suspected cases of CJD (being around 60% of all referred cases to the NCJDSU). The number of brain specimens examined for sporadic CJD in the neuropathology laboratory was the same as in 2009 (n=29).

In 1990-2010 average annual mortality rates from sporadic CJD in England, Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland were, respectively, 0.97, 1.15, 1.02 and 0.68/million/year. The differences between these rates are not statistically significant ( $p=0.5$ ). The mortality rates from sporadic CJD in the UK are comparable to those observed in most other European countries and elsewhere in the world, including countries that are free of BSE. The highest and lowest mortality rates from sporadic CJD were observed in the South West (SMR=122) and Northern Ireland (SMR=80) respectively. The variation in the observed mortality rates between the different regions within the UK is not statistically significant ( $p=0.7$ ).

Up to 31<sup>st</sup> December 2010, 174 cases of definite or probable vCJD had been identified in the UK (119 definite, 51 probable who did not undergo post mortem and 4 probable cases still alive). The clinical, neuropathological and epidemiological features of the cases of vCJD are remarkably uniform and consistent with previous descriptions. Risk factors for the development of vCJD include age, residence in the UK and methionine homozygosity at codon 129 of the prion protein gene - all 156 clinically affected definite and probable cases of vCJD with available genetic analysis have been methionine homozygotes. Analysis of vCJD diagnoses and deaths from January 1994 to December 2010 indicates that a peak has passed. While this is an encouraging finding, the incidence of vCJD may increase again, particularly if different genetic subgroups with longer incubation periods exist. The identification of an individual of the PRNP-129 MV genotype as a possible case of vCJD and, in a separate case, disease-related prion protein in the spleen of a clinically unaffected blood recipient (reported in 2004) is consistent with such a hypothesis. These cases, along with the report of the prevalence of abnormal prion protein in the large study of appendix and tonsil tissues (two of the

positive specimens from VV individuals) suggests the possibility of a greater number of preclinical or subclinical cases in the population than might be indicated by the present numbers of confirmed clinical cases.

The incidence of vCJD is higher in the north of Britain than in the south and the only statistically significant geographic cluster of vCJD cases in the UK remains that seen in Leicestershire (5 cases occurring between 1996 and 1999).

The NCJDSU continues to collaborate with the Health Protection Agency Centre for Infections and Health Protection Scotland, in relation to a range of activities, including testing of pathological specimens from the National Anonymous Tonsil Archive study through to input into the development and implementation of public health policy, for example, in relation to the follow up of those identified as at increased risk of CJD.

The activities of the NCJDSU are strengthened by collaboration with other surveillance projects, including the Transfusion Medicine Epidemiology Review and the study of Progressive Intellectual and Neurological Deterioration in Children. The collaboration of our colleagues in these projects is greatly appreciated; the effectiveness of this collaboration allowed the identification in 2003 of a case of vCJD associated with blood transfusion and the identification in 2004 of disease-related PrP in the spleen of a recipient of blood donated by someone incubating vCJD. No further cases of vCJD attributed to blood transfusion were identified in 2010. However, a case was identified in 2010 who had evidence of vCJD infection in the spleen (but no evidence of clinical vCJD), considered probably due to blood products (treatment for haemophilia).

A study has been undertaken to investigate dental treatment as a possible risk factor for vCJD. The study (funded by the Department of Health, UK) attempted to trace and examine the dental records of 162 vCJD cases and 610 general population controls resident in England, Wales and Scotland. A report of the findings is currently being reviewed by the Department of Health and a paper is in preparation for publication.

The recently described form of prion disease originally termed Protease Sensitive Prionopathy and renamed Variably Protease Sensitive Prionopathy, is of uncertain nosological significance but is presently considered a form of sporadic prion disease, alongside sporadic CJD. The NCJDSU has identified a UK instance of this disease in 2008, a further case in 2009 and is currently reviewing its archives to see if any more instances can be identified.

The success of the National CJD Surveillance Unit continues to depend on the extraordinary level of co-operation from the neurology and neuropathology communities and other medical and paramedical staff throughout the UK. Ongoing support is provided by the Infectious Disease Epidemiology Unit, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine. We are also particularly grateful to the relatives of patients for their collaboration.

[The National CJD Surveillance Unit is referred to as such in this report but changed its title to National CJD Research & Surveillance Unit in 2011]

## CLINICAL SURVEILLANCE

The national surveillance of CJD in the UK was initiated in May 1990 in response to a recommendation in the Report of the Working Party on Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy (Southwood Committee). The surveillance is funded by the Department of Health and by the Scottish Executive Health Department. The initial aim of the NCJDSU was to identify any change in the pattern of CJD that might be attributable to human infection with the agent responsible for the emergence of bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE) in cattle. Such a change was recognised in 1996 when vCJD was first described. The NCJDSU now aims to monitor characteristics of CJD, specifically sporadic CJD and vCJD, to identify trends in incidence rates and to study risk factors for the development of disease. This report documents the findings in relation to UK cases of sporadic, familial, iatrogenic and vCJD referred up to 31st December 2010 (with data ascertained up to 31<sup>st</sup> May 2011). Mortality data from England and Wales include retrospective data from 1970; for Scotland and Northern Ireland, retrospective mortality data are available from 1985. Case definitions for the various types of CJD can be found at [www.cjd.ed.ac.uk/criteria.htm](http://www.cjd.ed.ac.uk/criteria.htm). Cases classified as definite or probable are included in all analyses.

### 2.1 Referrals to NCJDSU

The NCJDSU receives referrals of suspect cases of CJD and a proportion of these will turn out not to have CJD. Referrals of suspect cases increased after the present surveillance system began in 1990, particularly following the description of vCJD in 1996. Over the period 1999-2003, the annual number of referrals varied little, between 162 and 179. Between 2004-2007 the referrals dropped to between 114 to 124. However, 2008-2010 saw a rise in referrals of those aged  $\geq 30$  to the NCJDSU bringing totals closer to previous levels (Figure 1a).

The recent fall and rise is partly explained by changes in the number of non-CJD cases referred to the NCJDSU, particularly in those aged  $\geq 30$  which are now back to pre-2004 levels, as shown in Figure 1b. (The decline in vCJD cases is less likely to explain the reduction in referrals in those aged 30 years and older because this older age group contains more sporadic cases). The percentage of non-cases amongst referrals has altered, being around 50% in 2000, decreasing to around 18% by 2007 but with higher percentages around 40% between 2008 and 2010. The decrease in percentage is an indication of better knowledge in referring clinicians and improved diagnostic processes. The recent increase is of uncertain significance. The number of referrals who turn out to have definite/probable CJD appear also to be increasing towards pre-2004 levels. This would be consistent with year on year variation in referral and classification of suspect cases, particularly since the introduction of 14-3-3 as a routine test in 1999, having produced these changes as described in detail in previous NCJDSU Annual Reports (2004-2007) (available at [www.cjd.ed.ac.uk](http://www.cjd.ed.ac.uk)).

Figure 1a Referrals to NCJDSU : 1 May 1990 – 31<sup>st</sup> December 2010: Age < 30 and age ≥ 30






Figure 1b Diagnostic classification of referrals: 2000-2010\* (shown as percentages and absolute numbers)



\*excludes suspect vCJD referrals and vCJD cases


## 2.2 Sporadic Creutzfeldt-Jakob Disease

Between 1st January 1970 and 31st December 2010, 1621 cases of sporadic CJD were identified in the UK, of which 14 cases were alive on 31st December 2010. Four further cases were identified (3 in Jersey and one in the Isle of Man) but they are not included in the following UK analyses. Of these UK cases, 1192 (74%) were classified as definite cases with the remainder classed as probable. Figure 2a shows the number of deaths each year from sporadic CJD for the UK between 1985 and 2010, Figure 2b shows similar data for England and Wales between 1970 and 2010 and Figure 2c shows the number of deaths from sporadic CJD in Scotland and Northern Ireland between 1985 and 2010.


Over the period 1990-2010 the average crude annual mortality rates from sporadic CJD per million population were 0.97 in England, 1.15 in Wales, 1.02 in Scotland and 0.68 in Northern Ireland (Tables 1a and 1b). When account is taken of age and sex, the variation in recorded mortality between the different countries is not statistically significant ( $p=0.5$ ).

Table 2 presents data on the number of cases of sporadic CJD (deaths and cases still alive as of 31<sup>st</sup> December 2010) according to age in England and Wales over the period 1970-1984 and UK over the period 1985-2010. It shows that the number of deaths identified each year has increased substantially since 1970, from an average of 15 per year in the 1970s, to 25 per year in the 1980s, to 45 per year in the 1990s and to 67 per year in the 2000s. A similar phenomenon has been observed in other European countries. These increases may reflect improved case ascertainment, particularly in those aged over 70 years. The number of deaths identified among those aged 70 years and above has risen from around one per year in England and Wales in the early 1970s to around 30 per year in the UK in recent years. (Table 2 and Figure 4). These data also emphasise the very small numbers of cases of sporadic CJD occurring in individuals aged <50 years. Over the shorter time period for which data are available for Scotland and Northern Ireland there is no clear secular trend.


**Figure 2a Deaths from sporadic CJD, UK, 1985-2010**



**Figure 2b** Deaths from sporadic CJD, England and Wales, 1970-2010



**Figure 2c** Deaths from sporadic CJD, Scotland and Northern Ireland 1985-2010 (please note different scale from Figs 1a and 1b)





**Table 1a Deaths from definite and probable sporadic CJD shown by region and local authority of residence at death: 1<sup>st</sup> January 1990 to 31<sup>st</sup> December 2010**

<b>ENGLAND</b>	No. of cases	Mortality Rate*	<b>ENGLAND</b>	No. of cases	Mortality Rate*
<b>North East</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>0.90</b>	<b>East</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>1.10</b>
Darlington UA	2		Luton UA	3	
Hartlepool UA	3		Peterborough UA	1	
Middlesbrough UA	1		Southend-on-Sea UA	4	
Redcar & Cleveland UA	3		Thurrock UA	4	
Stockton-on-Tees UA	2		Bedfordshire	8	
Durham	7		Cambridgeshire	7	
Northumberland	7		Essex	40	
Tyne & Wear	23		Hertfordshire	18	
			Norfolk	21	
<b>North West</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>0.98</b>	Suffolk	19	
Blackburn with Darwen UA	5		<b>London</b>	<b>123</b>	<b>0.80</b>
Blackpool UA	3		Inner London	41	
Halton UA	3		Outer London	82	
Warrington UA	5		<b>South East</b>	<b>160</b>	<b>0.95</b>
Cheshire	12		Bracknell Forest UA	3	
Cumbria	13		Brighton and Hove UA	1	
Greater Manchester	41		Isle of Wight UA	3	
Lancashire	25		Medway UA	2	
Merseyside	32		Milton Keynes UA	1	
<b>Yorkshire and the Humber</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>0.95</b>	Portsmouth UA	2	
East Riding of Yorkshire UA	6		Reading UA	2	
Kingston Upon Hull, City of UA	4		Slough UA	0	
North East Lincolnshire UA	1		Southampton UA	2	
North Lincolnshire UA	3		West Berkshire UA	4	
York UA	4		Windsor and Maidenhead UA	3	
North Yorkshire	18		Wokingham UA	3	
South Yorkshire	29		Buckinghamshire	8	
West Yorkshire	34		East Sussex	11	
<b>East Midlands</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>0.83</b>	Hampshire	27	
Derby UA	5		Kent	28	
Leicester UA	4		Oxfordshire	16	
Nottingham UA	3		Surrey	19	
Rutland UA	0		West Sussex	25	
Derbyshire	15		<b>South West</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>1.34</b>
Leicestershire	14		Bath & North East Somerset UA	3	
Lincolnshire	11		Bournemouth UA	7	
Northamptonshire	5		Bristol, City of UA	10	
Nottinghamshire	16		North Somerset UA	8	
<b>West Midlands</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0.90</b>	Plymouth UA	9	
Herefordshire, County of UA	3		Poole UA	3	
Stoke-on-Trent UA	2		South Gloucestershire UA	7	
Telford and Wrekin UA	1		Swindon UA	2	
Shropshire	5		Torbay UA	3	
Staffordshire	30		Cornwall and Isles of Scilly	16	
Warwickshire	7		Devon	16	
West Midlands (Met County)	40		Dorset	12	
Worcestershire	12		Gloucestershire	16	
			Somerset	17	
			Wiltshire	10	
<b>TOTAL FOR ENGLAND</b>	<b>1006</b>	<b>0.97</b>			

\* number of deaths/million/annum based on mid-2001 population estimates in England (source: ONS) over the 21-year period of the study. Postcode of residence obtained from AFD Postcode Plus.

**Table 1b Deaths from definite and probable sporadic CJD: Wales, Scotland and NI**  
**1<sup>st</sup> January 1990 to 31<sup>st</sup> December 2010**

<b>WALES†</b>	<b>No. of cases</b>	<b>WALES†</b>	<b>No. of cases</b>
Isle of Anglesey	5	Neath Port Talbot	0
Gwynedd	6	The Vale of Glamorgan	3
Conwy	5	Cardiff	3
Denbighshire	1	Bridgend	2
Flintshire	2	Rhondda, Cynon, Taff	5
Wrexham	4	Merthyr Tydfil	1
Powys	6	Caerphilly	6
Ceredigion	3	Blaenau Gwent	0
Pembrokeshire	1	Torfaen	3
Carmarthenshire	2	Monmouthshire	2
Swansea	6	Newport	4
<b>TOTAL FOR WALES (MORTALITY RATE*)</b>	<b>70 (1.15)</b>	†unitary authorities	


<b>SCOTLAND†</b>	<b>No. of cases</b>	<b>SCOTLAND†</b>	<b>No. of cases</b>
Aberdeen City	4	Highland	2
Aberdeenshire	7	Inverclyde	1
Angus	1	Midlothian	1
Argyll & Bute	3	Moray	2
Clackmannanshire	1	North Ayrshire	4
Dumfries & Galloway	3	North Lanarkshire	3
Dundee City	5	Orkney Islands	0
East Ayrshire	1	Perth & Kinross	0
East Dunbartonshire	3	Renfrewshire	4
East Lothian	3	Scottish Borders	3
East Renfrewshire	1	Shetland Islands	3
Edinburgh, City of	17	South Ayrshire	1
Eilean Siar	0	South Lanarkshire	6
Falkirk	3	Stirling	2
Fife	8	West Dunbartonshire	1
Glasgow City	11	West Lothian	4
<b>TOTAL FOR SCOTLAND (MORTALITY RATE*)</b>	<b>108 (1.02)</b>	†council areas	

<b>NORTHERN IRELAND†</b>	<b>No. of cases</b>	<b>NORTHERN IRELAND†</b>	<b>No. of cases</b>
Antrim	1	Down	2
Ards	1	Dungannon	0
Armagh	1	Fermanagh	0
Ballymena	0	Larne	1
Ballymoney	1	Limavady	0
Banbridge	1	Lisburn	4
Belfast	7	Magherafelt	0
Carrickfergus	0	Moyle	0
Castlereagh	0	Newry & Mourne	0
Coleraine	1	Newtownabbey	0
Cookstown	0	North Down	0
Craigavon	2	Omagh	1
Derry	1	Strabane	0
<b>TOTAL FOR N IRELAND (MORTALITY RATE*)</b>	<b>24 (0.68)</b>	†district council areas	

\* based on mid-2001 population estimates for unitary authorities in Wales, council areas within Scotland and district council areas in Northern Ireland (ONS) over the 21-year period of the study. Postcode of residence obtained from AFD Postcode Plus.

Figure 3 shows average annual age-specific mortality rates over the time periods 1970-84, 1985-89, 1990-95 and 1996-10. The median ages of cases at death during these four time periods were 63, 65, 66 and 68 years, respectively. In all four time periods, the mortality rates below 40 years of age were extremely low (< 0.2/million/year). Thereafter, in all four periods, the mortality rates increased up to ages 65-79 years and then declined. This decline might be explained by an under-ascertainment in the most elderly.


**Figure 3** Age-specific mortality rates from sporadic CJD in the UK 1970-2010 (note: from 1970-1984 only England and Wales, thereafter UK)



1970-84 Mortality rates calculated using mid-1981 England and Wales population estimates based on the 1981 Census  
 1985-89 Mortality rates calculated using mid-1981 UK population estimates based on the 1981 Census  
 1990-95 Mortality rates calculated using mid-1991 UK population estimates based on the 1991 Census  
 1996-10 Mortality rates calculated using mid- 2001 UK population estimates based on the 2001 Census

An analysis of age-specific trends from 1970 to 2010 (Figure 4) shows there has been an increase in recorded mortality over time in all age groups over 50 years, but that the greatest relative increase has occurred in those aged 70 years and above. The mortality rate in this age group is now similar to that in the age group 60-69 years. P-values for temporal trends are  $p=0.071$ ,  $p<0.002$ ,  $p<0.001$ ,  $p<0.001$  for age groups 40-49, 50-59, 60-69 and  $\geq 70$  years respectively. These observations are consistent with improved case ascertainment in all ages, but with the greatest increase occurring in the elderly.

**Figure 4 Trends in mortality from sporadic CJD by age: 1970-2010**



Mortality rates calculated using annual population estimates.  
 Source: Population Estimates Unit, ONS: Crown Copyright.

Table 2 presents the actual annual numbers of deaths (and number alive on 31 December 2010) from sporadic CJD (For England and Wales) by age group underlying these trends.

Table 2 Cases of sporadic CJD in England & Wales (from 1970) and UK (from 1985) by year

	15-19	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-69	70-79	80-89	90+	Total
1970	0	0	1	1	3	4	0	0	0	9
1971	0	0	0	1	4	5	2	0	0	12
1972	0	0	0	0	4	6	0	0	0	10
1973	0	0	0	0	6	8	2	0	0	16
1974	0	0	0	1	10	6	0	0	0	17
1975	0	0	0	1	1	4	2	0	0	8
1976	0	0	1	0	3	13	0	0	0	17
1977	0	0	1	1	3	10	4	0	0	19
1978	0	0	1	0	7	11	6	0	0	25
1979	0	0	1	1	4	6	3	0	0	15
1980	1	0	0	2	8	11	2	0	0	24
1981	0	0	1	0	6	13	2	0	0	22
1982	0	0	1	0	5	14	5	1	0	26
1983	0	0	0	1	7	6	6	1	0	21
1984	0	0	2	0	3	14	11	0	0	30
1985 <sup>1</sup>	0	0	2	0	5	14	5	0	0	26
1986	0	0	0	1	4	12	9	0	0	26
1987	0	0	1	2	1	10	9	0	0	23
1988	0	0	0	1	8	10	5	0	0	24
1989	0	0	0	1	6	10	10	2	0	29
1990	0	0	1	1	9	14	3	0	0	28
1991	0	0	0	1	9	16	4	2	0	32
1992	0	0	0	2	7	21	12	3	0	45
1993	0	0	0	1	3	18	10	4	0	36
1994	0	0	0	4	8	19	19	4	0	54
1995	0	0	0	0	7	13	15	0	0	35
1996	0	0	0	4	6	14	13	3	0	40
1997	0	1	0	4	14	21	17	3	0	60
1998	0	0	1	3	12	22	19	6	1	64
1999	0	1	0	6	16	19	16	4	0	62
2000	0	0	0	3	2	24	16	3	0	48
2001	0	0	0	0	9	19	22	8	0	58
2002	0	0	0	3	11	33	22	3	0	72
2003	0	0	0	1	10	32	29	6	1	79
2004	0	0	0	3	11	17	12	7	0	50
2005	0	0	0	0	10	22	26	8	0	66
2006	0	0	0	2	8	24	25	10	0	69
2007	0	0	0	1	7	24	28	4	0	64
2008	0	0	1	0	9	30	38	10	0	88
2009	0	0	0	3	15	29	25	5	0	77
2010 <sup>2</sup>	0	0	0	1	5	35	29	11	0	81
Alive <sup>3</sup>	0	0	0	1	6	3	4	0	0	14
<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>292</b>	<b>656</b>	<b>487</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1621</b>


<sup>1</sup> Up to 1984, cases from England and Wales only. From 1985 onwards, cases from Scotland and Northern Ireland are included.

<sup>2</sup> Deaths to 31 December 2010. Data for 2010 not yet complete.

<sup>3</sup> Additional cases alive on 31st December 2010.

Age- and sex- standardised mortality ratios (SMRs) for the 12 government office regions of the UK for the period 1st January 1990 to 31st December 2010 were calculated (Figure 5). An SMR of 100 equates to the national average mortality rate. After adjusting for the age/sex distribution of the population, the variation in mortality rates between the different regions is not statistically significant ( $p=0.7$ ). Regions of relatively high mortality are South West (SMR=122), East (SMR=110) and Wales (SMR=108). Low mortality rates were observed in Northern Ireland (SMR=80), East Midlands (SMR=83) and North East (SMR=88). The highest SMR (122 in South West) arose from 139 cases observed compared with 114 expected, an excess of just over one case every year compared to the national average. For Wales and East, the total numbers of excess cases was approximately 11 and 6 respectively.

**Figure 5 Standardised mortality ratios (SMRs) by standard region, UK  
1 January 1990 - 31 December 2010**




## 2.3 Variant Creutzfeldt-Jakob Disease

Up to 31<sup>st</sup> December 2010, 174 cases of definite or probable vCJD had been identified in the UK (119 definite, 51 probable who did not undergo post mortem and 4 probable cases still alive). Seventy-five (43%) of the 174 cases were women. The median age at onset of disease was 26 years and the median age at death 28 years (compared with 67 years for the median age at onset and 67 years for the median age at death for sporadic CJD). The youngest case was aged 12 years at onset while the oldest case was aged 74 years. To date, no case of vCJD has been identified in the UK in individuals born after 1989. The age- and sex-specific mortality rates for vCJD over the time period 1 May 1995 to 31 December 2010 are shown in Figure 6. The median duration of illness from the onset of first symptoms to death was 14 months (range 6-84) compared with a median duration of illness for cases of sporadic CJD of 4 months (range 1 to 74) during the period 1990-2010.

All definite and probable cases of vCJD with genetic analysis have been *PRNP*-129 MM individuals (a single case of possible vCJD with an MV genotype was described in the Seventeenth Annual Report 2008 (<http://www.cjd.ed.ac.uk/archive.htm>)).

**Figure 6** Age- and sex-specific mortality rates from vCJD in the UK  
1 May 1995 - 31st December 2010



Mortality rates calculated using ONS mid-2001 population estimates

### Incidence of vCJD diagnoses and deaths

Each year data on diagnosed cases of vCJD in the UK are reviewed in order to investigate trends in the underlying rate at which disease diagnoses and deaths are occurring. The following analysis<sup>1</sup> reviews the data to 31<sup>st</sup> December 2010.

<sup>1</sup> Analysis (pp 15-17) undertaken by N J Andrews, Senior Statistician, Statistics Unit, Centre for Infections, Health Protection Agency


## Methods

The incidence of deaths was modelled by Poisson regression using polynomials. Most deaths are reported quickly so adjustment for reporting delay is not necessary. The age at death has not increased as may have been expected, assuming that most exposure to BSE ceased in the early 1990s. In order to examine this further, the cases were stratified by year of death and birth cohort (pre 1970, 1970s and 1980s). Trends in deaths over time were compared between these cohorts.

## Results for Deaths

The epidemic reached a peak in the year 2000 when there were 28 deaths and has since declined to a current incidence of one death per year. A quadratic model provided a good fit to the data, however there was evidence ( $p < 0.01$ ) that a cubic model fitted better (see Figure 7). Extrapolation of the quadratic model predicts less than one death in 2011, with a 95% prediction interval (95% PI) of 0 to 2; the cubic model gives an estimate of 2.7 deaths (95% PI 0-6).

**Figure 7** vCJD deaths by year with fitted quadratic and cubic trend lines




## Deaths by birth cohort

An analysis that looked at deaths by birth cohort (pre 1970, 1970s, 1980s) showed that the shape of the epidemic differs significantly ( $p < 0.001$ ) between cohorts, mainly due to the fact that deaths of individuals born in the 1980s were only seen from 1999 onwards (Figure 8). This finding is consistent with those born in the 1980s being infected towards the end of the BSE epidemic when they were older rather than at the beginning. This requires a lower exposure/susceptibility in the very young, which is compatible with no cases having been seen to date in individuals born in the 1990s.



**Figure 8 Deaths by year and birth cohort**



\*count includes a transfusion transmission case


### Summary

It is important to note that although a peak has been passed, it is possible that there will be future peaks, possibly in other genetic subgroups. There is also the possibility of ongoing person to person spread as seen with 4 cases of transfusion associated vCJD infection to date, who received blood in 1999 or earlier from donors who were later diagnosed with clinical vCJD.

## Geographical distribution of vCJD

Figure 9 shows the geographical distribution, by place of residence at onset, of 174 cases of vCJD in the UK. Cases have been widely spread throughout the UK. Tables 3a and 3b present data on the geographical distribution by residence at onset (for all 174 vCJD cases) and residence at death (for 167 vCJD cases who had died by 31<sup>st</sup> December 2010 and were resident in the UK at death), along with the crude mortality rate per million population per annum of each standard region.

**Figure 9** Geographical distribution of places of residence at onset of symptoms of vCJD (n=174)



**Table 3a Cases of definite and probable variant CJD shown by residence at onset (n=139†) and residence at death (n=137‡) in England (region and local authority)**

	No. resident at onset	No. resident at death	Mortality rate*		No. resident at onset	No. resident at death	Mortality rate*
<b>North East</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0.28</b>	<b>East</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0.14</b>
Darlington UA	0	0		Luton UA	0	0	
Hartlepool UA	0	0		Peterborough UA	0	0	
Middlesbrough UA	1	1		Southend-on-Sea UA	1	1	
Redcar & Cleveland UA	1	1		Thurrock UA	0	0	
Stockton-on-Tees UA	1	1		Bedfordshire	0	0	
Durham	0	1		Cambridgeshire	1	1	
Northumberland	3	4		Essex	1	1	
Tyne & Wear	5	3		Hertfordshire	3	3	
				Norfolk	3	3	
<b>North West</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>0.25</b>	Suffolk	3	3	
Blackburn with Darwen UA	0	0		<b>London</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>0.14</b>
Blackpool UA	1	1		Inner London	7	6	
Halton UA	0	0		Outer London	12	10	
Warrington UA	2	2		<b>South East</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>0.16</b>
Cheshire	5	6		Bracknell Forest UA	1	1	
Cumbria	1	1		Brighton and Hove UA	0	0	
Greater Manchester	10	9		Isle of Wight UA	0	1	
Lancashire	4	4		Medway UA	0	1	
Merseyside	4	4		Milton Keynes UA	0	0	
<b>Yorkshire and the Humber</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>0.22</b>	Portsmouth UA	1	2	
East Riding of Yorkshire UA	1	1		Reading UA	0	0	
Kingston Upon Hull, UA	0	0		Slough UA	0	0	
North East Lincolnshire UA	1	1		Southampton UA	1	0	
North Lincolnshire UA	0	0		West Berkshire UA	0	0	
York UA	0	0		Windsor & Maidenhead UA	0	0	
North Yorkshire	4	3		Wokingham UA	0	0	
South Yorkshire	5	5		Buckinghamshire	0	1	
West Yorkshire	6	7		East Sussex	2	2	
<b>East Midlands</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0.15</b>	Hampshire	5	2	
Derby UA	0	0		Kent	5	4	
Leicester UA	0	0		Oxfordshire	1	1	
Nottingham UA	0	0		Surrey	6	4	
Rutland UA	0	0		West Sussex	1	1	
Derbyshire	0	1		<b>South West</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0.18</b>
Leicestershire	4	5		Bath & NE Somerset UA	0	0	
Lincolnshire	2	2		Bournemouth UA	1	1	
Northamptonshire	1	1		Bristol, City of UA	1	1	
Nottinghamshire	1	1		North Somerset UA	0	0	
<b>West Midlands</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0.12</b>	Plymouth UA	0	0	
Herefordshire, County of UA	0	0		Poole UA	0	0	
Stoke-on-Trent UA	0	0		South Gloucestershire UA	1	0	
Telford and Wrekin UA	0	0		Swindon UA	0	0	
Shropshire	1	1		Torbay UA	0	1	
Staffordshire	0	0		Cornwall and Isles of Scilly	2	1	
Warwickshire	2	3		Devon	3	3	
West Midlands (Met County)	3	5		Dorset	0	0	
Worcestershire	0	1		Gloucestershire	0	0	
				Somerset	4	5	
				Wiltshire	4	2	
<b>TOTAL FOR ENGLAND</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>137</b>	<b>0.18</b>				

\* number of deaths/million/annum based on mid 2001 population estimates (source: ONS): 1 May 1995 to 31 Dec 2010. Postcode of residence obtained from AFD Postcode Plus.

† includes cases alive at 31<sup>st</sup> Dec 2010

‡ excludes 3 cases who died abroad.

**Table 3b Cases of definite and probable variant CJD shown by residence at onset (n=35) and residence at death (n=30): Wales, Scotland and NI**

<b>WALES†</b>	<b>No. resident at onset</b>	<b>No. resident at death</b>	<b>WALES†</b>	<b>No. resident at onset</b>	<b>No. resident at death</b>
Isle of Anglesey	0	0	Neath Port Talbot	0	0
Gwynedd	1	1	The Vale of Glamorgan	1	1
Conwy	0	0	Cardiff	0	0
Denbighshire	1	0	Bridgend	0	0
Flintshire	0	0	Rhondda, Cynon, Taff	0	0
Wrexham	0	0	Merthyr Tydfil	0	0
Powys	1	1	Caerphilly	0	0
Ceredigion	0	0	Blaenau Gwent	0	0
Pembrokeshire	2	2	Torfaen	0	0
Carmarthenshire	1	1	Monmouthshire	0	0
Swansea	1	0	Newport	0	0
<b>TOTAL (MORTALITY RATE*)</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6 (0.13)</b>	†unitary authorities		
<b>SCOTLAND†</b>	<b>No. resident at onset</b>	<b>No. resident at death</b>	<b>SCOTLAND†</b>	<b>No. resident at onset</b>	<b>No. resident at death</b>
Aberdeen City	1	1	Highland	3	2
Aberdeenshire	0	0	Inverclyde	0	0
Angus	0	0	Midlothian	0	0
Argyll & Bute	0	0	Moray	0	0
Clackmannanshire	0	0	North Ayrshire	0	0
Dumfries & Galloway	0	0	North Lanarkshire	3	3
Dundee City	0	0	Orkney Islands	1	0
East Ayrshire	1	1	Perth & Kinross	0	0
East Dunbartonshire	1	1	Renfrewshire	1	1
East Lothian	0	0	Scottish Borders	0	0
East Renfrewshire	1	1	Shetland Islands	0	0
Edinburgh, City of	2	2	South Ayrshire	1	1
Eilean Siar	0	0	South Lanarkshire	1	1
Falkirk	1	1	Stirling	0	0
Fife	2	2	West Dunbartonshire	0	0
Glasgow, City of	3	3	West Lothian	2	2
<b>TOTAL (MORTALITY RATE*)</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>22 (0.28)</b>	†council areas		
<b>N IRELAND†</b>	<b>No. resident at onset</b>	<b>No. resident at death</b>	<b>N IRELAND†</b>	<b>No. resident at onset</b>	<b>No. resident at death</b>
Antrim	0	0	Down	0	0
Ards	0	0	Dungannon	0	0
Armagh	0	0	Fermanagh	0	0
Ballymena	0	0	Larne	0	0
Ballymoney	0	0	Limavady	0	0
Banbridge	0	0	Lisburn	1	1
Belfast	1	0	Magherafelt	0	0
Carrickfergus	0	0	Moyle	0	0
Castlereagh	0	0	Newry & Mourne	0	0
Coleraine	0	0	Newtownabbey	1	1
Cookstown	0	0	North Down	0	0
Craigavon	0	0	Omagh	0	0
Derry	0	0	Strabane	0	0
<b>TOTAL (MORTALITY RATE*)</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2 (0.08)</b>	†district council areas		

\* number of deaths/million/annum based on mid-2001 population estimates (source: ONS): 1 May 1995-31 Dec 2010. Postcode of residence obtained from AFD Postcode Plus.

Age- and sex- standardised incidence ratios (SIRs) based on cases' place of residence in 1991 (shortly after the time when exposure to the BSE agent is assumed to have peaked) are shown in Figure 10 for the 11 standard regions of the UK.

**Figure 10** Standardised incidence ratios (SIRs) up to 31<sup>st</sup> December 2010 of vCJD by standard region on 1st January 1991




Table 4 shows the distribution of cases between the “North” and the “South” of Great Britain, according to place of residence in 1991. The excess of cases previously identified in the “North” (first 51 cases, rate ratio controlling for age and sex = 1.94; 95% CI 1.12-3.36) has declined somewhat as further cases have accrued, but remains statistically significant.<sup>2</sup> The rate ratio controlling for age and sex is now 1.46 (95% CI 1.08-1.98), ie individuals living in the “North” in 1991 are about one and a half times more likely to have developed vCJD than individuals who were living in the “South” in 1991. This relatively high incidence of cases amongst those who lived in the north of GB compared to the south, in 1991, will continue to be monitored.

**Table 4 Comparison of cumulative incidence in the “North” of the UK (excluding Northern Ireland) with that in the “South”**

Region	Population aged 10 years and above at the 1991 census	Number (rate/million) of vCJD cases by place of residence at 1 <sup>st</sup> January 1991	
		First 51 cases	Total
“North” (North West, Yorks & Humbs, Northern, Scotland)	16.6 million	26 (1.57)	74 (4.48)
“South” (South West, South East, Wales, West Midlands, East Midlands, East Anglia)	31.2 million	25 (0.80)	96 (3.08)
Total (rate ratio*)	47.8 million	51 (1.94)	170** (1.46)

\*North versus South, adjusted for age and sex

\*\*Total excludes one case resident abroad and 3 cases in Northern Ireland in 1991

Northern cases were slightly older at onset than southern cases (median of 27.5 years versus 25 years; p=0.6), similar proportions were male (55% of northern and 56% of southern cases).

### Geographically Associated Cases of vCJD

To date, there is no statistical evidence of local clustering of vCJD cases, other than in Leicestershire. Investigations into geographically associated cases of vCJD (either through proximity of residence of through an occupational, educational or social/recreational link with the same location) have found no convincing evidence of factors that may have augmented local risks for vCJD. A paper describing these investigations has been published in collaboration with the Health Protection Agency<sup>3</sup>


<sup>2</sup> Cousens S, Smith PG, Ward H, Everington D, Knight RSG, Zeidler M, Stewart G, Smith-Bathgate EAB, Macleod MA, Mackenzie J, Will RG. Geographical distribution of variant Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease in Great Britain, 1994-200. *Lancet* 2001; 357: 1002-1007.

<sup>3</sup> Molesworth AM, Cousens SN, Gill ON, Ward HJT on behalf of the local investigation teams. Variant Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease in the United Kingdom: a countrywide or local risk? *J Epid Comm Health* 2010; 64: 616-621.

## 2.4 Iatrogenic Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease

Since 1970, up to 31st December 2010, 72 cases of CJD attributable to iatrogenic exposure have been identified, 8 in individuals receiving dura mater implants, 63 in individuals who had received human-derived growth hormone (hGH) and one in a recipient of human gonadotrophin (hGN). Seventy of these individuals have died (Figure 11) and 2 were still alive as at 31<sup>st</sup> December 2010.

**Figure 11 Deaths from iatrogenic CJD, 1979-2010**



The mean age at death of the hGH/hGN group was 33 years (with a range of 20-51 years) and for the dura mater cases 46½ years (range 27-78 years).

The first identified iatrogenic case was a dura mater recipient who died in 1979. The first hGH-related death occurred in 1985. Since 1985 in the UK, human pituitary-derived hormones have been replaced by synthetic preparations. Details of the UK human pituitary-derived hormone cases, with a discussion of the incubation periods, were published in 2003.<sup>4</sup>

A study of the accumulated UK experience with dura mater-related CJD, including incubation periods, was undertaken and the results published in 2006.<sup>5</sup>

## 2.5 Transfusion Medicine Epidemiology Review

The Transfusion Medicine Epidemiology Review (TMER) is a collaborative project between the UK NCJDSU and UK Blood Services (UKBS). The main purpose is to investigate whether there is any evidence that CJD or vCJD may have been transmitted via the blood supply. The following report is based on vCJD cases who donated or received blood and does not include data from the ongoing study of sporadic CJD.

<sup>4</sup> Swerdlow AJ, Higgins CD, Adlard P, Jones ME, Preece MA. Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease in United Kingdom patients treated with human pituitary growth hormone. *Neurology* 2003; 61: 783-91.

<sup>5</sup> Heath CA, Barker RA, Esmonde TFG, Harvey P, Trend P, Head MW, Smith C, Bell JE, Ironside JW, Will RG, Knight RSG. Dura mater-associated Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease: experience from surveillance in the UK. *JNNP* 2006; 77: 880-2.

## Methods

vCJD cases (definite and probable) are notified to the UKBS by NCJDSU; a search establishes whether any have acted as donors. Donation records are checked and all components traced through hospital records. Details of all identified recipients are forwarded to NCJDSU for subsequent checking to ensure none appear on the NCJDSU database as a case of CJD.

In the reverse procedure, patients with vCJD reported to have received blood transfusions are identified by NCJDSU and notified to UKBS. Details of transfusions are traced through hospital records and relevant blood donors identified. The identity of donors is notified to NCJDSU for subsequent checking to ensure none appear on the NCJDSU database as a case of CJD.

## Results

Thirty-one vCJD cases were reported, via information obtained at interview with a relative of the patient, to have been blood donors. Four additional cases who were not reported to have been blood donors were found to be registered with UK Blood Transfusion Services (UKBTS). One of these cases was found to have been a blood donor while the other three cases were registered as donors but never made any donations. Twenty-four of the cases have been traced at blood centres, including the four additional cases mentioned above. Components derived from donations made by 18 of these individuals were actually issued to hospitals. It has been established that 67 components were transfused to identifiable recipients.

Four instances of probable transfusion transmitted infection have been identified. The first recipient (Case 1) developed symptoms of vCJD 6½ years after receiving a transfusion of red cells donated 3½ years before the donor (Donor 1) developed symptoms of vCJD<sup>6</sup>. The second recipient (Case 2) died from a non-neurological disorder 5 years after receiving blood from a donor (Donor 2) who subsequently developed vCJD<sup>7</sup>; at post mortem protease-resistant prion protein (PrP<sup>res</sup>) was detected in the spleen but not in the brain. This was the first recorded case in the UK of autopsy detection of presumed pre- or sub-clinical vCJD infection. The third recipient (Case 3) developed symptoms of vCJD 7 years, 10 months after receiving a transfusion of red cells donated about 21 months before the donor (Donor 3) developed symptoms of vCJD<sup>8</sup>. The fourth recipient (Case 4), who received a transfusion from the same donor as Case 3, developed symptoms of vCJD 8 years, 4 months after receiving a transfusion of red cells donated about 17 months before the donor (Donor 3) developed symptoms of vCJD<sup>9</sup>.

In the reverse study, 15 vCJD cases were reported, via information obtained at interview with a relative of the patient or from medical notes, to have received blood transfusions in the past. A further case received a blood transfusion after onset of illness and is excluded from further discussion. Checks revealed that of these 15 cases, one was not transfused, 4 had transfusions which pre-dated available records (pre-1980), and 10 had records of transfusion which could be traced. These 10 individuals had received 209 donor exposures (with one patient given 103 components), which have been traced to 192 named donors (two of whom – Donor 1 and Donor 3 – had already been identified as cases of vCJD as described above). No additional links between donors and recipients have been identified by the reverse study.

---

<sup>6</sup> Llewelyn CA, Hewitt PA, Knight RSG, Amar K, Cousens S, Mackenzie J, Will RG. Possible transmission of variant Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease by blood transfusion. *Lancet* 2004; 363: 417-421.

<sup>7</sup> Peden AH, Head MW, Ritchie DL, Bell JE, Ironside JW. Preclinical vCJD after blood transfusion in a PRNP codon 129 heterozygous patient. *Lancet* 2004 364: 527-529.

<sup>8</sup> Wroe SJ, Pal S, Siddique D, Hyare H, Macfarlane R, Joiner S, Linehan JM, Brandner S, Wadsworth JD, Hewitt P, Collinge J. Clinical presentation and pre-mortem diagnosis of variant Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease associated with blood transfusion: a case report. *Lancet* 2006; 368: 2061-2067.

<sup>9</sup> Health Protection Agency. Fourth case of transfusion-associated variant-CJD. *Health Protection Report* 2007;1(3):



## Conclusion

The identification of 3 cases of vCJD in the small cohort of known recipients of blood from persons incubating vCJD, together with the fact that 2 of the cases were associated with a common blood donor, establishes beyond reasonable doubt that blood transfusion is a transmission route for vCJD.

(Collaborators on this project: Dr P.E. Hewitt, Dr C.A. Llewelyn, Ms M Malfroy).

## *2.6 Study of Progressive Intellectual & Neurological Deterioration (PIND)*

The aim of this project is to use the mechanism of the British Paediatric Surveillance Unit to identify all cases of progressive intellectual and neurological deterioration in children in the UK, particularly those with features suggestive of vCJD. All cases are discussed by an Expert Neurological Advisory Group of seven paediatric neurologists and one geneticist which allocates the cases to a diagnostic category<sup>10-11</sup>.

As of 31<sup>st</sup> December 2010, after nearly 14 years surveillance, 2998 patients with suspected PIND had been reported and the Expert Group had discussed 2026 of these. 1252 cases had a confirmed underlying cause other than vCJD, being categorised into over 146 known neurodegenerative diseases<sup>3</sup>. There have been six cases of vCJD; four definite and two probable. Three were reported in 1999, one in 2000 and 2 in mid-2001. One girl was aged 12 at onset - the youngest UK case of vCJD identified to date.

(Collaborators: Dr C. Verity, Prof A. Nicoll, Ms L. Stellitano, Ms AM Winstone)

---

<sup>10</sup> Verity CM, Nicoll A, Will RG, Devereux G, Stellitano L. Variant Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease in UK children: a national surveillance study. *Lancet* 2000; 356: 1224-1227.

<sup>11</sup> Devereux G, Stellitano L, Verity CM, Nicoll A, Will RG, Rogers P. Variations in neurodegenerative disease across the UK: findings from the national study of Progressive Intellectual and Neurological Deterioration (PIND). *Arch Dis Child* 2004; 89: 8-12.

3. Verity C, Winstone AM, Stellitano L, Will R, Nicoll, A. The epidemiology of progressive intellectual and neurological deterioration in childhood. *Arch Dis Child* 2010; 95:361-364

## CASE-CONTROL STUDY

Since May 1990 a case-control study of CJD has been carried out in the UK to investigate potential risk factors for variant and sporadic CJD. Patients themselves are usually too unwell to answer questions when they are seen by members of the Unit. Therefore, relatives of patients with suspected CJD are approached and, with informed consent, interviewed about the patient using a standard questionnaire relating to possible risk factors for CJD, including residential, occupational, dietary and medical histories. To maximise the study's validity, this interview takes place as early as possible after a person is suspected of having CJD. We are indebted to the families of those with suspected CJD, who agree to be interviewed at what is an extremely difficult time in their lives.

The choice of the source of controls, with which to compare the information for cases, is extremely important in a case-control study. There are a number of possible choices each of which has its own advantages and disadvantages in terms of suitability as controls, practicalities of recruitment and cost, further details of which are detailed in Annual Report 2006.<sup>12</sup> A general population control group, recruited in 2002-2003, has proved the most successful in terms of numbers recruited and response rate. It has been used in analyses comparing risk factors of the control group with cases of vCJD and sCJD, based on information provided by the families of cases and controls at interview (for details of the findings please see below). The methodology of the recruitment of this control group can be found in Ward et al, 2006, *Annals of Neurology*.<sup>13</sup>

In the light of the decline in the number of new vCJD cases observed since 2000 funding for the core case-control study ceased in May 2008, having been funded since 1998 by 3 consecutive research grants (courtesy of the Department of Health and Scottish Government). However, the Unit continues to collect risk factor information for all suspect cases of human prion diseases referred to the Unit as part of its core work. In addition, analysis continues to be undertaken on data gathered already, such as the examination of medical risk factor data obtained directly from primary care records (see section "On-going analyses" below). Ad hoc studies that may require extra funding have been and will continue to be undertaken as necessary (for example see the dental study below). If in the future it is thought necessary, funding will be sought to recruit further controls.

---

<sup>12</sup> National CJD Surveillance Unit 15<sup>th</sup> Annual Report 2006 ([www.cjd.ed.ac.uk/archive.htm](http://www.cjd.ed.ac.uk/archive.htm)).

<sup>13</sup> Ward HJT et al. Risk factors for variant Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease: a case-control study. *Ann Neurol* 2006; 59: 111-120.

## **Results from the case-control study of reported risk factors for variant and sporadic CJD**

Results from the case-control study of reported risk factors for variant CJD are consistent with dietary exposure to contaminated beef products being the main route of infection of vCJD, but recall bias cannot be excluded as an explanation. There was no convincing evidence of increased risk through medical, surgical or occupational exposure, or exposure to animals<sup>13</sup>.

For sporadic CJD, an analysis of reported medical risk factors, found that it was unlikely that a high proportion of UK sCJD cases are the result of transmission during surgery, but we cannot exclude the possibility that such transmission occurs occasionally<sup>14</sup>. To determine whether the increased risk associated with reported surgical history reflects a causal association or recall bias, a study based on accurate surgical histories obtained from medical records is required.

## **Results from the study of dental risk factors for variant CJD**

The dental study was funded by Department of Health, UK to investigate dental treatment as a possible risk factor for vCJD using data acquired directly from the examination by dental professionals of dental records. The tracing of dental records was completed in 2009 with the assistance of general dental practitioners and the NHS Dental Practice Boards.

Data for 160 vCJD cases resident in Great Britain and referred to the Unit between May 1995 and August 2009, compared with 584 general population controls, were examined to determine if two or more cases had dental treatment at the same practice within a similar time-frame, with statistical analysis of those for whom treatment data prior to clinical onset/interview were available, to determine if there is evidence of an association between dental treatment and vCJD. Two pairs of cases had attended the same dental practice but the type and timing of the treatments did not provide strong evidence that this was how vCJD was acquired. The case-control review of specific dental treatments showed that vCJD cases were no more likely to have undergone any dental treatment than the population controls ( $p > 0.05$  for all treatments). The conclusion of the study was that, because of the limited availability of dental information in this study, and the possibility of undetected asymptomatic infection, we cannot exclude dental treatment as a possible risk factor for vCJD. A report of the findings has recently been published by the Department of Health, UK and a detailed paper describing the study is in preparation.

## **On going analyses**

### **Analysis of primary care records for variant and sporadic CJD**

The aim of this study is to investigate the possibility of secondary transmission of CJD through medical procedures, using data acquired directly from the primary care records of both cases and general population controls. The data acquired from primary care records are likely to be more accurate and detailed than those obtained from relatives and are not subject to recall bias. For cases, records are obtained prospectively after the death of a case is identified. For the general population controls, we have written consent from three-quarters (approximately 620) to access their primary care medical records. To assemble this information was a huge task and involved visiting practices throughout the UK. This data collection was completed during 2008. In 2010 analysis commenced comparing them with medical data from vCJD cases; a similar analysis will be considered for sCJD in the future.

---

<sup>14</sup> Ward HJT et al. Risk factors for sporadic Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease. *Ann Neurol* 2008; 63: 347-354.

## LABORATORY ACTIVITIES

Laboratory investigations are part of the internationally-agreed diagnostic criteria for CJD, both during life (CSF protein analysis, PrP genetic studies, brain biopsy neuropathology and prion protein studies) and post-mortem (autopsy neuropathology and prion protein studies). The NCJDSU has facilities to perform all of these investigations, which aid the timely and accurate diagnosis of all forms of CJD and are essential for surveillance purposes.

### *4.1 Neuropathology – Statement of Progress and Surveillance Activities*

The neuropathology laboratory in the NCJDSU continues to maintain its diagnostic and research activities, with most of the cases investigated referred from other centres across the UK (see Table 5). The laboratory maintains close links with other neuropathology centres across the UK and overseas with scientific, medical, technical and student visitors over the past year for specialist training purposes. The laboratory has continued to maintain an active research programme both in-house and by collaboration with other research centres in UK, Europe and across the world.

The numbers of cases and autopsy rate for vCJD have declined in the UK, the latter in keeping with national trends, with 2 cases being examined in 2010. Despite this trend, the autopsy rate for sCJD has been maintained. A significant number of cases submitted for examination were given an alternative neuropathological diagnosis, details of which are in Table 5. This referral pattern has not changed significantly over the past year. Fewer cases of CJD have been referred from outside the UK, reflecting the decline in suspect cases of vCJD overseas.

In addition to the UK CJD surveillance work, the neuropathology laboratory is involved in vCJD screening studies in three groups of patients identified as being at increased risk of vCJD through exposure to blood products or plasma products (Table 5). The laboratory is also involved in a series of international collaborative studies in relation to neuropathological diagnosis of CJD and other human prion diseases.

The laboratory and its staff continue to participate in a range of EQA activities related to both technical and diagnostic neuropathology. As before, the laboratory continues to act as a source of information to a wide range of professionals involved in health and safety issues relating to CJD. We are most grateful to all neuropathologists, general pathologists and their technical, secretarial and autopsy room staff for their continuing support of the NCJDSU. We are also grateful to the relatives of patients with CJD for allowing us to study this group of devastating disorders.

**Table 5 Breakdown of Laboratory Activities:  
Period 1<sup>st</sup> January 2010– 31<sup>st</sup> December 2010**

	<b>CURRENT YEAR</b>	<b>PREVIOUS YEAR</b>
<b>REFERRED CASES (UK)</b>		
Sporadic CJD	29	29
Genetic CJD	1	0
Variant CJD	2	1
Iatrogenic CJD (GHT)	1	0
Gerstmann-Straussler-Scheinker Syndrome	1	0
No evidence of CJD (no alternative pathological diagnosis)	11	11
Alzheimer's disease	1	4
Dementia with Lewy Bodies	2	3
Motor neurone disease	2	2
Other forms of brain disease†	10	10
<b>REFERRED CASES (EU)</b>		
Sporadic CJD	3	6
Genetic CJD	0	1
Variant CJD	0	1
Gerstmann-Straussler-Scheinker Syndrome	0	0
Other forms of brain disease	1	4
<b>REFERRED CASES (ROW)</b>		
Sporadic CJD	0	1
Variant CJD	0	0
Genetic CJD	0	1
Other forms of brain disease	0	0
<b>UK PRION SCREENING STUDIES</b>		
Haemophilia cases - UKHCDO	2	1
Primary immunodeficiency cases – PIDSUK	6	9
Enhanced Surveillance for vCJD	1	0
<b>OTHER REFERRALS AND STUDIES</b>		
UK Studies	12	9
European Collaborative Study	0	3
<b>TOTAL NUMBER OF CASES</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>96</b>

† Other :

Cerebrovascular disease	5
Frontotemporal lobar degeneration and other inclusion bodies	3
Meningothelial meningioma	2*

\*both referrals from same patient

Abbreviations:


GHT	Growth Hormone Therapy
EU	European Union
ROW	Rest of World

## 4.2 Prion Protein Laboratory

### Prion protein detection and typing

Prion protein typing is carried out as a routine diagnostic test on all suspected cases of CJD from which frozen brain tissue is received by the NCJDSU. Small quantities of cerebral cortex or cerebellum are homogenised, treated with protease and the size and relative abundance of the three PrP<sup>res</sup> glycoforms determined by Western blot analysis. The prion protein isotype is classified as type 1 if the nonglycosylated form has a molecular weight of ~21kDa or type 2 if the nonglycosylated form has a molecular weight of ~19kDa. The suffix B is used to denote a PrP<sup>res</sup> type 2 in which the diglycosylated band predominates. The remaining type 2 cases where the diglycosylated band does not predominate are termed type 2A. The type 2B isotype has previously found to be characteristic of variant CJD. A typical result is shown in Figure 12. Low molecular weight (<10kDa) PrP<sup>res</sup> fragments have also been recognised to characterise certain cases of Gerstmann-Straussler-Scheinker (GSS) disease, and the more recently described Protease Sensitive Prionopathy (PSP<sub>r</sub>) renamed in 2010 as Variably Protease Sensitive Prionopathy (VPSP<sub>r</sub>).

**Figure 12: PrP<sup>res</sup> types in sporadic and variant CJD**



Western blot analysis of protease-resistant prion protein (PrP<sup>res</sup>) in two cases of sporadic CJD (sCJD) of the MM1 and VV2 subtypes and in a case of variant CJD (vCJD (MM2)). The size of the nonglycosylated (bottom band) is either 21kDa (termed type 1) or 19kDa (termed type 2). Diglycosylated PrP<sup>res</sup> (\*\*\*) predominates in the vCJD and the pattern is termed type 2B to distinguish it from type 2 cases in which the monoglycosylated form (\*) predominates (type 2A).

### UK Referrals

A total of 36 UK cases with frozen tissue were received and analysed in 2010, representing a slight decrease in the number of cases with frozen tissue referred to the NCJDSU for analysis compared with the previous year (39). The results of the analysis were as follows:

**Table 6 Breakdown of cases analysed in 2010**

Diagnosis	Type	PrP <sup>res</sup> +ve CNS
CJD (n=27)	Sporadic	25/25 <sup>1</sup>
	Variant	1/1
	Iatrogenic	1/1
GSS (n=1)		1/1
Alternative final diagnosis or not determined		0/8 <sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> includes brain and peripheral tissues examined from one individual in a study of at-risk UK haemophiliacs

<sup>2</sup> includes a brain biopsy supplied in suboptimal conditions (frozen in liquid)

Further sub-classification by PrP<sup>res</sup> type and PRNP genotype yields the following results:

**Table 7 PrP<sup>res</sup> type / PRNP genotype breakdown of CJD cases analysed in 2010**

Diagnosis	PRNP genotype	Type 1	Type 2A	Type 1+2	Type 2B	<10 kDa
Sporadic CJD (n=25)	M/M	12 <sup>1,2</sup>	-	1	-	-
	M/V	2	4 <sup>1,3</sup>	-	-	-
	V/V	1	5	-	-	-
Variant CJD (n=1)	M/M	-	-	-	1	-
Iatrogenic CJD (n=1)	M/V	-	1 <sup>4</sup>	-	-	-
GSS (n=1)	P102L-MM	-	-	-	-	1

<sup>1</sup> includes a case of the panencephalopathic variant of sporadic CJD

<sup>2</sup> includes one case in which suboptimal (autolytic) tissue was supplied

<sup>3</sup> includes a case which showed PrP<sup>res</sup> type 2 doublet typical of sCJD MV2

<sup>4</sup> CJD in a human growth hormone recipient

### **Non-UK referrals**

Western blot analysis was performed on frozen tissue from three non-UK cases. They were all found to be cases of sporadic CJD of the MM1 subtype, although one case showed the presence of a minority type 2 PrP<sup>res</sup> in addition to type 1.

### **4.3 Brain banking activities**

The bank of fixed and frozen tissues in the Surveillance Unit was used extensively in 2010 for diagnostic and collaborative research purposes with colleagues in the UK and overseas. Funding from MRC was renewed in 2009 to support the activities of the Bank for a further 2 years. The Bank is a member of the MRC Network of UK Brain Banks, under the Directorship of Professor JW Ironside. This network will strengthen banking activities and ensure uniform high standards of operation. The Bank has a website, on which further details are available including instructions on how to request tissue samples for research (<http://www.edinburghbrainbanks.ed.ac.uk/CJD/indexcjd.htm>). The activities of the Bank comply with current guidelines from the Royal College of Pathologists, the Human Tissue (Scotland) Act 2006 and the Human Tissue Act 2004.

### **4.4 Molecular Genetics**

#### **Familial CJD**

One hundred and thirteen cases of familial CJD (excluding cases of GSS) have been identified since 1970 by the NCJDSU (these data are incomplete as formal investigation of familial CJD in the UK is undertaken by the National Prion Clinic in London). Of the 113 cases, 100 were resident in England, 8 were resident in Wales, 2 were resident in Northern Ireland and 3 were resident in Scotland. Twenty-one cases were still alive as at 31<sup>st</sup> December 2010. Fifty-five of the cases had insertions in the coding region of the PrP gene, 30 carried the mutation at codon E200K, 11 at codon D178N, 2 at codon V210I, one at codon D167G, 2 at codon V163STOP, one at codon G54S and one at codon E211Q. The remaining 10 were identified as familial on the basis of relatives known to have had CJD. The mean age at death was 55 years (range 31-77 years).

### ***PRNP* Codon 129 distribution in sporadic CJD**

The distribution of *PRNP* codon 129 genotypes in sporadic CJD has been analysed since the inception of the Unit in 1990. The overall distribution of *PRNP* codon 129 genotypes in sporadic CJD is 62% MM, 19% MV, 19% VV (see Table 8). There appears to be evidence ( $p=0.002$ ) of a change in the *PRNP* codon 129 distribution in sporadic CJD between the periods 1990-1995 and 1996-2010. The explanation for this remains unclear and is being investigated further. It should be noted that not all cases are genotyped (data available on 62%) and, therefore, changes in *PRNP* codon 129 distribution may reflect changes in the way in which cases are selected for analysis.

**Table 8 *PRNP* codon 129 genotypes of cases of sporadic CJD in the UK, 1990-2010**

<b>Deaths from sporadic CJD</b>	<b>MM(%)</b>	<b>MV(%)</b>	<b>VV(%)</b>
Deaths from 1 January 1990 – 31 December 1995	101 (75)	16 (12)	17 (13)
Deaths from 1 January 1996 – 31 December 2010	363 (59)	127 (21)	122 (20)
Total	464 (62)	143 (19)	139 (19)
<b>Genotype distribution for the normal population</b>	(39)	(50)	(11)
<b>Pooling data from five studies</b>			

### ***PRNP* codon 129 distribution in vCJD**

All clinical cases for whom genetic data are available ( $n=156$ , 90%) were methionine homozygotes at *PRNP* codon 129 of the PrP gene.

The genetic laboratory undertakes genetic analysis on a national and international basis.

## ***4.5 CSF 14-3-3 and other brain specific proteins***

### **Introduction**

The laboratory received 335 cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) samples from January–December 2010, from patients residing in the United Kingdom (UK) and from patients in non-UK countries. Seven CSF samples were blood-stained and as such unsuitable for analysis and one CSF sample did not have sufficient volume of sample for analysis. The origin and numbers of these samples are given in Table 9.

**Table 9 Origin of CSF samples sent to the NCJDSU for CSF 14-3-3 analysis January-December 2010**

<b>Origin of CSF samples</b>	<b>Total number CSF samples (%)</b>
CSF from UK patients	294* (88%)
CSF from non-UK countries	41** (12%)
Total number	335 (100%)

\* Six CSF samples were blood-stained and as such unsuitable for analysis


\*\*One CSF sample was blood-stained and another had insufficient volume for analysis.

Of the 288 analysable CSF samples received from patients within the United Kingdom, 109 samples were from patients who were finally referred to the NCJDSU as a suspected case of



CJD. Of these, 67 patients were finally diagnosed as having definite, probable or possible CJD or probable prion disease (Table 10), one patient had 2 CSF samples taken during 2010. The remaining 41 patients were diagnosed as not having CJD. The remaining 197 CSF samples were sent to the NCJDSU for the analysis of CSF 14-3-3 and S-100b but in none of these cases did the requesting clinician refer the patient to the NCJDSU as a suspected case of CJD. Many requests for CSF 14-3-3 and S-100b analysis are on patients where the clinical suspicion of CJD is low and the request is made to exclude the diagnosis. However, if a CSF 14-3-3 request is made for a patient where CJD is reasonably suspected the referring clinician is encouraged to formally refer the patient to the NCJDSU.

**Figure 13 Source of CSF samples received from UK for CSF 14-3-3 analysis**



The final diagnosis of the 67 patients referred to the NCJDSU who were diagnosed with some form of CJD or prion disease is given in Table 10.

**Table 10 CSF 14-3-3 results in patients diagnosed with CJD**

Diagnosis	Number of cases	Number of positive CSF 14-3-3
Definite sporadic CJD	29	29
Probable sporadic CJD	27	27
Possible sporadic CJD	2	0
Probable variant CJD	1	0
Definite Familial CJD	2 (E200K mutation) 1 (E211Q mutation)	2 1
Probable Familial CJD	1 (E200K mutation) 1 (Unknown mutation)	1 1
Probable FFI	1*	0
Definite Iatrogenic CJD**	1	1
Probable Iatrogenic CJD**	1	1

\* One patient had 2 CSF samples taken for CSF 14-3-3 analysis, both of which were negative for CSF 14-3-3.

\*\* Secondary to administration of human cadaveric growth hormone.

Of the patients with probable sCJD, 13 died without undergoing a post-mortem, 3 have died and neuropathological confirmation of sCJD is awaited. Of the remaining 11 patients, 7 have died and it is unclear whether a post-mortem has been performed and 4 patients are still alive. Of the 13 patients who died without post-mortem examination, 4 had EEG traces that were considered typical for sCJD, 6 had EEG traces that were not considered typical and for a further 3 the EEG was not available for

review. Therefore 9 of the 13 patients with probable sCJD who died without neuropathological confirmation have been classified as probable on the basis of the 14-3-3 result without independent EEG support.

Of the 179 CSF samples sent from patients who were not formally referred to the NCJDSU, 12 had a positive CSF 14-3-3. In 8 of these patients an alternative diagnosis was identified and these are shown in Table 11. The diagnosis in the remaining 4 cases is unknown but CJD was considered unlikely by the requesting clinicians on clinical grounds or in light of the results of other investigations.

**Table 11** Diagnosis in those patients with a positive CSF 14-3-3 who were not referred as suspect cases to the surveillance system and had an alternative diagnosis

<b>Diagnosis in CSF only referral cases with positive CSF 14-3-3</b>	<b>Number of cases</b>
Encephalitis/encephalopathy	3
Seizures	3
Vascular disease	1
Sjögren's disease of the spinal cord	1

## NATIONAL CJD CARE TEAM

**E**stablished by the Department of Health, the National CJD Care Team is based within the National CJD Surveillance Unit and was formed in order to optimise the care of patients suffering from CJD. An initial national care coordinator post was established in February 2000 and in September 2001 the National CJD Care Team was formed. The present team consists of 2 care coordinators who are senior nurses and a secretary, with clinical neurological support from within the Unit.

When a referral has been made to the NCJDSU and a diagnosis of probable or possible CJD is made, the coordinator makes direct contact with the family and offers the opportunity to meet and to assist with care intervention. Referrals are also made to the Care Team from the National Prion Clinic in London and Leah Davidson, who coordinates the care of iatrogenic CJD cases. Once contact is made, the coordinator can meet with the patient and family on a regular basis, depending on need, to provide support and to assist with coordination of local health and social care professionals. The coordinators provide valuable expertise in nursing patients with CJD and can anticipate and prevent some problems that may arise. By offering skilled advice and education the care team enables local teams to provide high standards of care and continues to be involved as long as needed. Now that there are two care coordinators, more families are having the benefit of contact with a care co-ordinator. This does not always involve a personal visit. Contact by telephone is just as important and can be preferred by families and other professionals involved. Post bereavement support is offered to the family after the patient dies and assistance is given in accessing more specialised counselling.

The National CJD Care Team works in close liaison with the Department of Health and provides access to the CJD Care Package, which provides funding to assist local authorities with the care of patients suffering from all forms of CJD. The Care Fund is available to supplement local care provision for all forms of CJD. Health and social services provide the basic elements of the individual patient's care package. The Care package for patients varies according to the individual's needs and it is essential that care packages are flexible. The aim is to provide a package that will provide the appropriate level of care both for the patient and their family.

In addition to collaborations with national organisations in the United Kingdom, the Care Team liaise closely with international organisations, including the Australian and American CJD Support Groups and is an Official Friend of the CJD International Support Alliance.

From the establishment of the first National Care Coordinator post in 2000 until 31<sup>st</sup> December 2010, the care team have been in contact with, and/or provided access to care funds, to 94 variant CJD cases, 262 sporadic CJD cases, 67 familial cases and 15 iatrogenic cases.

The National Care Coordinators undertook 236 patient visits, case conferences and teaching sessions during 2010 (Table 12).

**Table 12 Patient Visits, Case Conferences and Teaching Sessions  
1<sup>st</sup> January to 31<sup>st</sup> December 2010**

<b>Month</b>	<b>Patient Visits/Case Conferences/Teaching Sessions</b>
January	16
February	22
March	32
April	15
May	12
June	13
July	29
August	19
September	17
October	17
November	25
December	19

Expenditure from the National CJD Care Fund during 2010 was £439,109.08 (Table 13) bringing the overall expenditure of the Care Fund since 2000 to £3,216,362.68.

**Table 13 Care Fund Payments  
1<sup>st</sup> January to 31<sup>st</sup> December 2010**

<b>Description</b>	<b>£</b>
Adaptations	24,810.68
Alternative Therapy	10,304.58
Car Hire	48,754.25
Equipment	16,871.83
Nursing	327,088.63
Physiotherapy	5,703.08
Social Care	3,288.03
Transport	2,288.00
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>439,109.08</b>

## PUBLICATIONS IN 2010

1. Belay E, Schonberger LB, Brown P, Priola SA, Chesebro B, Will RG, Asher DM. Disinfection and sterilization of prion-contaminated medical instruments. *Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology* 2010; 31(12):1304-1306.
2. Bishop MT, Will RG, Manson JC. Defining sporadic Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease strains and their transmission properties. *PNAS* 2010; 107 (26):12005-12010.
3. Chadeau-Hyam M, Clarke PS, Guihenneuc-Jouyaux C, Cousens SN, Will RG, Ghani AC. An application of hidden Markov models to the French variant Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease epidemic. *Applied Statistics* 2010; 59 (5).
4. Chohan G, Llewelyn C, Mackenzie J, Cousens S, Kennedy A, Will RG, Hewitt P. Variant Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease in a transfusion recipient: coincidence or cause? *Transfusion* 2010; 50:1003-1006.
5. Chohan G, Pennington C, Mackenzie J, Andrews M, Everington D, Will RG, Knight RS, Green AJ. The role of cerebrospinal fluid 14-3-3 and other proteins in the diagnosis of sporadic Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease in the UK: a 10-year review. *JNNP* 2010; 81 (11):1243-1248.
6. Choi YP, Peden AH, Groner A, Ironside JW, Head MW. Distinct stability states of disease-associated human prion protein identified by conformation-dependent immunoassay. *J Virol* 2010; 84(22): 12030-8.
7. Green A, Andrews M, Bishop M, Mackenzie J, McCord Y, Pennington C, Chohan G, Knight R. Elevated phosphorylated tau pT-181 in a possible *PRNP* codon 129 MV vCJD case. *JNNP* 2010; 81(11): 1243-8.
8. Head MW, Lowrie S, Chohan G, Knight R, Scoones DJ, Ironside JW. Variably protease-sensitive prionopathy in a *PRNP* codon 129 heterozygous UK patient with co-existing tau,  $\alpha$  synuclein and A $\beta$  pathology. *Acta Neuropathol* 2010; 120(6); 821-3.
9. Heath CA, Cooper SA, Murray K, Lowman A, Henry C, Macleod MA, Stewart GE, Zeidler M, Mackenzie JM, Ironside JW, Summers DM, Knight RS, Will RG. Validation of diagnostic criteria for variant Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease. *Ann Neurol* 2010; 67(6):761-770.
10. Ironside JW. Variant Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease. *Haemophilia* 2010; 5:175-180.

11. Jansen C, Head MW, van Gool WA, Bass F, Yull H, Ironside JW, Rozenmuller AJM. The first case of protease sensitive prionopathy (PSP<sup>r</sup>) in the Netherlands: a patient with an unusual GSS-like clinical phenotype. *JNNP* 2010; 81(9): 1052-1055.
12. Knight R. Brain magnetic resonance studies in prion disease. *Nature Reviews Neurology* 2010; 6:10-11.
13. Knight R. The risk of transmitting prion disease by blood or plasma products. *Transfusion and Apheresis Science* 2010; 43:387-391.
14. Kovacs GG, Sanchez-Juan P, Strobel T, Schuur M, Poleggi A, Nocentini S, Giannattasio C, Belay G, Bishop M, Capellari S, Parchi P, Gelpi E, Gal A, Bakos A, Molnar MJ, Heinemann U, Zerr I, Knight RS, Mitrova E, van Duijn C, Budka H. Cathepsin D (C224T) polymorphism in sporadic and genetic Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease. *Alzheimer Disease Assoc Disord* 2010; 24(1):104-107.
15. Millar CM, Connor N, Dolan G, Lee CA, Makris M, Wilde J, Winter M, Ironside JW, Gill N, Hill FG. Risk reduction strategies for variant Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease transmission by UK plasma products and their impact on patients with inherited bleeding disorders. *Haemophilia* 2010; 16(2):305-315.
16. Molesworth A, Cousens SN, Gill ON, Ward HJT, on behalf of the local investigation teams. Variant Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease in the United Kingdom? a countrywide or local risk? *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2010; 64:616-621.
17. Murray K, Peters J, Stellitano L, Winstone A, Verity C, Will R. Is there evidence of vertical transmission of variant CJD? *JNNP* 2010; (Epub ahead of print).
18. Peden A, McCardle L, Head MW, Love S, Ward HJT, Cousens SN, Keeling DM, Millar CM, Hill FGH, Ironside JW. Variant CJD infection in the spleen of a neurologically asymptomatic UK adult patient with haemophilia. *Haemophilia* 2010; 16:296-304.
19. Verity C, Winstone AM, Stellitano L, Will R, Nicoll A. The epidemiology of progressive intellectual and neurological deterioration in childhood. *Arch Dis Child* 2010; 95(5):361-364.
20. Verity CM, Winstone AM, Stellitano L, Krishnakumar D, Will R, McFarland R. The clinical presentation of mitochondrial diseases in children with progressive intellectual and neurological deterioration: a national, prospective, population-based study. *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology* 2010; 52(5):434-440.
21. Will B. Variant CJD: where has it gone, or has it? *Practical Neurology* 2010; 10:250-251.

## Section

## 7

***Staff based at the National CJD Surveillance Unit, Western General Hospital, Edinburgh in 2010***

Professor RSG Knight	Director, NCJDSU
Professor RG Will	Consultant Neurologist
Professor JW Ironside	Consultant Neuropathologist
Dr C Smith	Honorary Consultant in Neuropathology
Dr A Molesworth	Senior Epidemiologist
Dr C Pennington, Dr S El-Tawil, Dr G Mackay	Clinical Research Fellows
Mrs B Smith-Bathgate	National Care Co-ordinator
Ms M Leitch	National Care Co-ordinator
Dr MW Head	Reader, with responsibility for prion protein biochemistry
Dr A Green	Senior Clinical Scientist
Dr M Bishop	Molecular Geneticist
Ms J Mackenzie	Study Coordinator
Mr A Hunter	Business Manager
Ms D Everington	Statistician
Mr N Attwood	Database Manager
Ms D Ritchie	Research Assistant
Mrs L McCardle	Chief Biomedical Scientist
Mrs M Le Grice, Ms S Lowrie, Mrs M Andrews	Senior Biomedical Scientists
Ms C-A Mackenzie	Tissue Bank Manager
Ms H Yull	Research Technician
Ms Y McCord	Research Technician
Ms I Robertson	Research Technician
Ms Elaine Lord	Administrative Co-ordinator
Ms A Honeyman, Ms F Frame	Secretariat – Neuropathology
Mrs S Macdonald	Secretariat - Care Team
Ms K Anderson	Secretariat - Case-control study

**Staff funded by Other Sources**

Ms T Lindsay (EU)	European Study Co-Ordinator
Mrs C Donaldson (EU)	Secretariat
Mrs O Krets	Secretariat – TMER study
Dr A Peden (CSO)	Postdoctoral Research Fellow
Dr M Jones (SNBTS/CSO)	Postdoctoral Research Fellow
Dr L McGuire (Alliance Biosecure)	Postdoctoral Research Fellow
Mr G Fairfoul (SNBTS)	Research Technician
Ms Z Krejciova	PhD student
Mr YP Choi	PhD student
Mr M Barria	PhD student

***Infectious Disease Epidemiology Unit, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine***

Professor PG Smith	Professor of Tropical Epidemiology, Infectious Disease Epidemiology Unit
Professor SN Cousens	Professor of Epidemiology and Medical Statistics, Infectious Disease Epidemiology Unit